
## EE 330 Lecture 20

**Bipolar Device Modeling** 

### Exam Schedule

Exam 2 will be given on Friday March 11 Exam 3 will be given on Friday April 15


Review session Tuesday 5:00 p.m. 5:00 lab will be delayed to start at 6:00 p.m.



As a courtesy to fellow classmates, TAs, and the instructor

Wearing of masks during lectures and in the laboratories for this course would be appreciated irrespective of vaccination status

## **Bipolar Transistors**




With proper doping and device sizing these form Bipolar Transistors

Review from Last Lecture

# **Bipolar Operation**

**Consider npn transistor – Forward Active Operation** 



Some will recombine with holes and contribute to base current and some will be attracted across BC junction and contribute to collector

Size and thickness of base region and relative doping levels will play key role in percent of minority carriers injected into base contributing to collector current

## Simple dc model

npn transistor - Forward Active Operation

$$\mathbf{I}_{\mathsf{B}} = \widetilde{I}_{S} \mathbf{e}^{\frac{\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{BE}}}{\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{t}}}} \\
\mathbf{I}_{\mathsf{C}} = \beta \widetilde{I}_{S} \mathbf{e}^{\frac{\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{BE}}}{\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{t}}}} \\
\mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{C}} = \mathbf{J}_{\mathsf{S}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathsf{E}} \mathbf{e}^{\frac{\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{BE}}}{\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{t}}}} \\
\mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{C}} = \mathbf{J}_{\mathsf{S}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathsf{E}} \mathbf{e}^{\frac{\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{BE}}}{\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{t}}}} \\
\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{t}} = \frac{\mathsf{kT}}{\mathsf{q}} \\
\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{t}} = \frac{\mathsf{kT}}{\mathsf{q}}$$

J<sub>S</sub> is termed the saturation current density

Process Parameters :  $J_S, \beta$ 

Design Parameters: A<sub>E</sub>

Environmental parameters and physical constants: k,T,q

At room temperature, V<sub>t</sub> is around 26mV

J<sub>S</sub> very small – around .25fA/u<sup>2</sup> at room temperature

## Simple dc model

npn transistor – Forward Active Operation

$$I_{B} = \frac{J_{S}A_{E}}{\beta}e^{\frac{V_{BE}}{V_{t}}}$$

$$I_{C} = J_{S}A_{E}e^{\frac{V_{BE}}{V_{t}}}$$

$$V_{t} = \frac{kT}{\alpha}$$

As with the diode, the parameter  $J_S$  is highly temperature dependent


$$J_s = J_{sx} \left[ T^m e^{\frac{-V_{so}}{V_t}} \right]$$

Typical values for parameters:  $J_{SX}=20\text{mA/}\mu^2$ ,  $V_{G0}=1.17\text{V}$ , m=2.3

The parameter  $\beta$  is also somewhat temperature dependent but much weaker temperature dependence than  $J_{SX}$ .


# Simple dc model

**Typical Output Characteristics** 




Forward Active region of BJT is analogous to Saturation region of MOSFET Saturation region of BJT is analogous to Triode region of MOSFET

### Better Model of Output Characteristics




# BJT and MOSFET Comparison




- Same general characteristics
- Spacings a bit different (Exponetial vs square law)
- Slope steeper for small  $V_{CE}$  compared to  $V_{DS}$

### Better Model of Output Characteristics



With scaled  $V_{CE}$  axis, transition in saturation very steep


### **BJT Model**



Require two graphical representations though vertical axis scales different by factor of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ 


Since  $I_B = f(V_{BE})$ , can use independent  $(V_{BE})$  or dependent  $(I_B)$  variable for 2-D visualization of 3-dimensional  $I_C$  function

# BJT and MOSFET Comparison



- Same general characteristics
- Spacings a bit different (Exponetial vs square law)
- Slope steeper for small  $V_{CE}$  compared to small  $V_{DS}$


# BJT and MOSFET Comparison



Did not need to graphically show input characteristics for MOS transistors since I<sub>G</sub>=0

## Improved simple dc model


Typical Output Characteristics



- Projections of these tangential lines all intercept the  $-V_{CE}$  axis at the same place and this is termed the Early voltage,  $V_{AF}$  (actually  $-V_{AF}$  is intercept)
- Typical values of V<sub>AF</sub> are in the 100V to 200V range
- Can multiply expression for  $I_C$  in Forward Active Region by term  $\left(1+\frac{V_{CE}}{V_{AF}}\right)$  to account for slope

## Improved simple dc model


(graphically showing only output characteristics)



Need models in saturation and cutoff regions


## Improved simple BJT dc model

**Typical Output Characteristics** 



## Improved simple BJT dc model


**Typical Output Characteristics** 



Need analytical models in saturation and cutoff regions

## Improved simple BJT dc model

**Typical Output Characteristics** 




#### Recall:

Forward Active region of BJT is analogous to Saturation region of MOSFET Saturation region of BJT is analogous to Triode region of MOSFET

## Improved dc model

(graphically showing only output characteristics)



$$V_t = \frac{kT}{q}$$

$$I_{E} = -\frac{J_{S}A_{E}}{\alpha_{F}} \left( e^{\frac{V_{BE}}{V_{t}}} - 1 \right) + J_{S}A_{E} \left( e^{\frac{V_{BC}}{V_{t}}} - 1 \right)$$


$$I_{C} = J_{S} A_{E} \left( e^{\frac{V_{BE}}{V_{t}}} - 1 \right) - \frac{J_{S} A_{E}}{\alpha_{R}} \left( e^{\frac{V_{BC}}{V_{t}}} - 1 \right)$$

- Valid in All regions of operation
- V<sub>AF</sub> effects can be added
- Not mathematically easy to work with
- Note dependent variables changes {I<sub>E</sub>, l
- Termed Ebers-Moll model
- · Reduces to previous model in FA region
- Little use in Reverse Active Region



## Improved dc model

(graphically showing only output characteristics)




$$V_t = \frac{kT}{q}$$

$$I_{E} = -\frac{J_{S}A_{E}}{\alpha_{F}} \left( e^{\frac{V_{BE}}{V_{t}}} - 1 \right) + J_{S}A_{E} \left( e^{\frac{V_{BC}}{V_{t}}} - 1 \right)$$

$$I_{C} = J_{S} A_{E} \left( e^{\frac{V_{BE}}{V_{t}}} - 1 \right) - \frac{J_{S} A_{E}}{\alpha_{R}} \left( e^{\frac{V_{BC}}{V_{t}}} - 1 \right)$$

- Model using I<sub>E</sub> and I<sub>C</sub> as dependent variables
- Valid in All regions of operation
- V<sub>AF</sub> effects can be added
- Not mathematically easy to work with
- Note dependent variables changes
- Termed Ebers-Moll model
- Reduces to previous model in FA region
- Little use in Reverse Active Region


(graphically showing only output characteristics)



- Observe V<sub>CE</sub> around 0.2V when saturated
- V<sub>BE</sub> around 0.6V when saturated
- In most applications, exact  $V_{\text{CE}}$  and  $V_{\text{BE}}$  voltage in saturation not critical

#### Simplified model in saturation:

$$V_{BE}=0.7V$$
 Saturation  $V_{CE}=0.2V$ 



- This is a piecewise model suitable for analytical calculations
- Can easily extend to reverse active mode but of little use
- Still need conditions for operating in the 3 regions !!

"Forward" Regions :  $\beta = \beta_F$ 


	Conditions	
$I_{C} = J_{S}A_{E}e^{\frac{V_{BE}}{V_{t}}}\left(1 + \frac{V_{CE}}{V_{AF}}\right)$	V <sub>BE</sub> >0.4V V <sub>BC</sub> <0	
$I_{B} = \frac{J_{S}A_{E}}{\beta}e^{\frac{V_{BE}}{V_{t}}}$		Forward Active
V <sub>BE</sub> =0.7V V <sub>CE</sub> =0.2V	I <sub>C</sub> <βI <sub>B</sub>	Saturation
I <sub>C</sub> =I <sub>B</sub> =0	V <sub>BE</sub> <0 V <sub>BC</sub> <0	Cutoff

Process Parameters:  $\{J_S, \beta, V_{AF}\}$ 

$$V_t = \frac{kT}{a}$$

Design Parameters:  $\{A_E\}$ 

- Process parameters highly process dependent
- J<sub>S</sub> highly temperature dependent as well, β modestly temperature dependent
- This model is dependent only upon emitter area, independent of base and collector area!
- Currents scale linearly with A<sub>F</sub> and not dependent upon shape of emitter
- A small portion of the operating region is missed with this model but seldom operate in the missing region





A small portion of the operating region is missed with this model but seldom operate in the missing region

### Further Simplified Multi-Region dc Model

(by neglecting  $V_{AF}$ )


#### **Forward Active**





Adequate when it makes little difference whether  $V_{BE}$ =0.6V or  $V_{BE}$ =0.7V

#### **Forward Active**




### Mathematically

$$V_{BE}=0.6V$$
  
 $I_{C}=\beta I_{B}$ 

Or, if want to show slope in I<sub>C</sub>-V<sub>CE</sub> characteristics


$$V_{BE}$$
=0.6 $V$   
 $I_{C}$ = $\beta I_{B}$ (1+ $V_{CE}$ / $V_{AF}$ )



$$R_{CE} = \frac{V_{AF}}{\beta I_{PO}}$$

R<sub>CE</sub> highly nonlinear

## Further Simplified Multi-Region dc Model



A small portion of the operating region is missed with this model but seldom operate in the missing region


### **Conditions for Regions of Operation in Multi-Region Model**

$$V_{BE}>0.4V$$
 $V_{BC}<0$ 
Forward Active

 $I_{C}<\beta I_{B}$ 
Saturation

 $V_{BE}<0$ 
 $V_{BC}<0$ 
Cutoff


Note: One condition is on dependent variables!



Observe that in saturation,  $I_C < \beta I_B$ 


Can't condition on independent variables in saturation because they are fixed in the model

Regions of Operation in Independent Parameter Domain used In multi-region models





- Seldom operate in regions excluded in this picture
- Limited use in Reverse Active Mode

### Excessive Power Dissipation if either junction has large forward bias




### Safe regions of operation






Actually cutoff, forward active, and reverse active regions can be extended modestly as shown and multi-region models still are quite good


### Sufficient regions of operation for most applications



### Example: Determine $I_C$ and $V_{OUT}$




### Example: Determine $I_C$ and $V_{OUT}$



#### Solution:

- 1. Guess Forward Active Region (and model)
- 2. Solve Circuit with Guess
- 3. Verify model (if necessary)



$$I_B = \frac{(12 - 0.6)}{500K}$$

$$I_C = \beta I_B = 100 \frac{(12 - 0.6)}{500K} = 2.28 mA$$

$$V_{OUT} = 12 - I_C \bullet 4K = 2.88V$$

### 4. Verify FA Region

$$V_{BE} = 0.6V > 0.4V$$
 V<sub>BE</sub>>0.4V and V<sub>BC</sub><0  
 $V_{BC} = 0.6V - 2.88V = -2.28V < 0$ 

### Verify Passes so solution is valid


$$I_C = 2.28mA$$
$$V_{OUT} = 2.88V$$

### 5. Verify model (if necessary)


Solve again with  $V_{BE}$ =0.7V Will show  $V_{OUT}$  =2.96V so difference is small

Note solution independent of J<sub>S</sub> and A<sub>E</sub>

### Example: Determine $I_C$ and $V_{OUT}$ ,




Example: Determine  $I_C$  and  $V_{OUT}$ .



#### Solution:

- 1. Guess Forward Active Region
- 2. Solve Circuit with Guess
- 3. Verify model (if necessary)



$$I_{B} = \frac{(12-0.6)}{50K}$$

$$I_{C} = \beta I_{B} = 100 \frac{(12-0.6)}{50K} = 22.8mA$$


$$V_{OUT} = 12 - I_{C} \bullet 4K = -79.2V$$

4. Verify FA Region  $V_{BE}>0.4V$  and  $V_{BC}<0$ 

$$V_{BE} = 0.6V > 0.4V$$
  
 $V_{BC} = 0.6V - -79.2V = +79.8V > 0$ 

Verify Fails so solution is not valid

### Example: Determine $I_C$ and $V_{OUT}$




#### Solution:

- 5. Guess Saturation
- 6. Solve Circuit with Guess
- 7. Verify model (if necessary)

$$I_{B} = \frac{(12-0.6)}{50K} = 228\mu A$$

$$I_{C} = \frac{(12-0.2)}{4K} = 2.95mA$$

$$V_{OUT} = 0.2V$$



 $I_{C} < \beta I_{B}$ 

8. Verify SAT Region

$$\beta I_B = 100 \cdot 228 \mu A = 22.8 mA$$
  
 $I_C = 2.95 mA$   
 $I_C = 2.95 mA < \beta I_B = 22.8 mA$ 


Verify SAT Passes so solution is valid

$$I_C = 2.95mA \qquad V_{OUT} = 0.2V$$


9. Verify model (if necessary)

(use  $V_{BF}$ =0.7V, no change in output)

Example: Determine  $I_C$  and  $V_{OUT}$ . Assume C is large and  $V_{IN}$  is very small.



Example: Determine  $I_C$  and  $V_{OUT}$ . Assume C is large and  $V_{IN}$  is very small.




Solution:

Assume  $V_{IN}$ =0, then no current flows through C so circuit is identical to circuit of previous-previous example so

$$I_C = 2.28mA \qquad V_{OUT} = 2.88V$$

Note: If C is large and  $V_{IN}$  is small sinusoidal signal of sufficiently high frequency, the voltage across C will not change the input so  $V_{IN}$  is from an ac viewpoint coupled directly to base. In this case, the circuit will amplify  $V_{IN}$  and the gain will be very large due to the exponential relationship between  $I_{C}$  and  $V_{RF}$ .

Example: Determine  $I_D$  and  $V_{OUT}$ . Assume C is large and  $V_{IN}$  is very small.



Solution:

$$V_{\rm G} = \frac{100K}{600K} 12V = 2V$$

$$V_{\rm GS} > V_{\rm TH}$$
  $V_{\rm DS} > V_{\rm GS} - V_{\rm TH}$ 

$$I_D = \mu C_{OX} \frac{W}{2I} (V_{GS} - V_{TH})^2$$


$$I_D = 10^{-4} \frac{45.6}{2} (2-1)^2 = 2.28 mA$$

$$V_{OUT} = 2.88V$$

Verify saturation 2V > 1V 2.88V > 2V - 1V

Note: solution dependent upon W,L,V<sub>TH</sub>, and uC<sub>ox</sub>

Example: Determine  $I_D$  and  $V_{OUT}$ . Assume C is large and  $V_{IN}$  is very small.



#### Solution:

Assume  $V_{IN}=0$ , then no current flows through C

$$V_{\rm G} = \frac{100K}{600K} 12V = 2V$$

$$V_{\rm GS} > V_{\rm TH}$$
  $V_{\rm DS} > V_{\rm GS} - V_{\rm TH}$ 

$$I_D = \mu C_{OX} \frac{W}{2L} (V_{GS} - V_{TH})^2$$

$$I_D = 10^{-4} \frac{45.6}{2} (2-1)^2 = 2.28 mA$$


$$V_{OUT} = 2.88V$$

Verify saturation 2V > 1V 2.88V > 2V - 1V

This circuit has the same current and same  $V_{\text{OUT}}$  as the previous circuit

Note: solution dependent upon W,L,V<sub>TH</sub>, and uC<sub>ox</sub>

Example: Determine  $I_D$  and  $V_{OUT}$ . Assume C is large and  $V_{IN}$  is very small.




Solution:


Assume V<sub>IN</sub>=0, then no current flows through C so circuit is identical to circuit of previous-previous example so

$$I_C = 2.28mA \qquad V_{OUT} = 2.88V$$

Note: If C is large and  $V_{IN}$  is small sinusoidal signal of sufficiently high frequency, the voltage across C will not change so  $V_{IN}$  is from an ac viewpoint coupled directly to base. In this case, the circuit will amplify  $V_{IN}$  and the gain will be large due to the square-law relationship between  $I_D$  and  $V_{GS}$ .

### Comparison





$$I_{c} = I_{D} = 2.28 \text{mA}$$
  $V_{OUT} = 2.88 \text{V}$ 

- Both circuits can serve as amplifiers
- Architectures very similar
- Will be shown later that the bipolar circuit has larger gain because exponential vs square law relationship



Stay Safe and Stay Healthy!

### End of Lecture 20